IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYAN AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.174 of 2007
Date of decision: February 12,2007

National Insurance Company V. Smt. Santokh and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present: Shri Pardip Bedi, Advocate with Shri Inderjeet Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Shri B.S.Guliani, Advocate, for the respondents.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral)

The petitioner before this court is a tenant i.e. National Insurance Company Limited.

The ejectment of the tenant has been ordered in a petition under section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act by the Rent Controller on the ground that the landlords are Non-resident Indians and the premises in question is required by them for their personal use and occupation as petitioner No.1, Santokh Singh Dhillon, who is residing in United Kingdom wants to shift to India and wants to use the premises in question for his personal use.

Learned Rent Controller on the basis of the evidence on the record has held that the landlords are Non-resident Indians and the landlord No.1 Santokh Singh Dhillon requires the premises in question since he wanted to settle in India, having retired from service.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the observations made by the Rent Controller, I do not find that various findings recorded by the Rent Controller are erroneous in any manner or are contrary to record. No interference of this court in the revisional jurisdiction is required.

Dismissed.

February 12,2007 (Viney Mittal)
sks Judge